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# Week 2 – Lab B

Date: 12th Feb 2021

### Exercise 1. A simple matrix multiplication program in CUDA using one thread block

### Question1 : implement a solution in C++ to the problem

### Solution:

1. Created a C++ solution that iterates through the required combinations. This is done using a series of for loops. Taking the dimensions of the final array C and iterating through the x and y values as shown in the code below.
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The commented-out printf for debugging purposes and produces the following output
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This allowed me to monitor the index values for finding the various matrix index value based on the threadIdx values. And ensure the offset values matched the expected coordinates.

### Test data:

### Sample output:



### Question2 : implement a solution using GPU processing to solve the problem

### Solution:

1. Modified the Add kernel Function to multiply the matrixes as follows
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1. Added two new passed in variables: “common” that represents the common dimension of the matrices to make calculating the indexes of the arrays easier to manage and “cW” which represents the width of the B array to allow for offset calculations.

the for loop iterates through and calculates the dot product of the current row and column this could be extracted into a further kernel function to speed up the process.

The commented-out printf for debugging purposes and produces the following output
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This allowed me to monitor the index values for finding the various matrix index value based on the threadIdx values. And ensure the offset values matched the expected coordinates.

### Test data:

### Sample output:
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### Reflection:

This was fairly simple the most difficult part was collapsing the Thread in the right way for the X and Y values as I kept confusing the two and doing them the wrong way round. However as I had looped via x and y dimensions for the concurrent method modifying the code to run on GPU in parallel allowed me to sub in the threadId.x and threadId.y for my x and y variables.

### Metadata:

### Further information:

Is it possible to launch a kernel from a kernel if so this could improve the process?

## Exercise 2. Compare the performance of the CUDA solution against the CPU solution

### Question1 : Running performance test on a range of square arrays for CPU solution and GPU solution comparing the results

### Solution:

N/A

### Test data:

Matrix A = Matrix B

Matrix B will be a square matrix of the following sizes

(8\*8),(32\*32),(256\*256),(512\*512),(1024\*1024)

### Sample output:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Matrix dimensions | CPU | GPU |
| 8 x 8 |  |  |
| 32 x 32 |  |  |
| 256 x 256 |  |  |
| 512 x 512 |  |  |
| 1024 x 1024 |  |  |

### Reflection:

The CPU only solution seemed faster initially however it quickly began to take more and more time whereas the GPU solution kept a fairly consistent execution time the primary bottleneck was printing the results to the screen however another bottleneck was the iteration on each thread this could be replaced by a nested kernel call however I was unsure if this was possible.

### Metadata:

### Further information:

Is it possible to launch a kernel from a kernel if so this could improve the process?